



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/938,907                                  | 08/24/2001     | Brad Davis           | UTL 00011               | 3331             |
| 32968 . 7:                                  | 590 07/14/2005 |                      | EXAM                    | INER             |
| KYOCERA WIRELESS CORP.                      |                |                      | SING, SIMON P           |                  |
| P.O. BOX 928289<br>SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-8289 |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| SAN DIEGO,                                  | CA 92192-0209  |                      | 2645                    |                  |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 07/14/200 | •                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary  |  | 09/938,907  | DAVIS, BRAD   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Simon Sing  | 2645  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply   | this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with th   | e correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extend</li> </ul>   | S COMMUNICATION.  Ider the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Idea of this communication.  I less than thirty (30) days, a reply  e, the maximum statutory period w  ed period for reply will, by statute,  nan three months after the mailing | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply b within the statutory minimum of thirty (30)   | e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to commur  | nication(s) filed on <u>15 Ap</u>  | oril 2005.  |   |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  | 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |
|  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                   |   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,4-16 and 18 4a) Of the above claim( 5) □ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,4-16 and 18 7) □ Claim(s) is/are o 8) □ Claim(s) are sub  | s) is/are withdrawillowed.<br>- <u>20</u> is/are rejected.<br>bjected to.  | n from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is obje  | ected to by the Examiner   | ·.  |   |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of</li><li>3. Copies of the certain application from the</li></ul>   | ☐ None of:  of the priority documents  of the priority documents  tified copies of the prior  the International Bureau   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applic<br>ity documents have been rece | cation No eived in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-8</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson</li> </ol>  |  | 4) Interview Summ<br>Paper No(s)/Ma   |   |  |  |  |
| Notice of Dransperson's Patent Dragonia information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |   | al Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 3 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Kumar et al. US 6,212,399, and a further review of the claims. Rejections based on the newly cited reference and reasons for rejection are stated below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 4, 5, 8-16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rong et al. US 6,775,548 in view of Kumar et al. US 6,212,399 and further in view of Ozluturk et al. US 6,434,135.
- 2.1 Regarding claims 2 and 16, Rong discloses a method and system for accessing a telecommunications system by a mobile station 114 with a transmitter 204 and a receiver 206 shown in figure 1. Rong teaches:

determining a relative transmitter output power required for transmission over an access channel based upon a first data transmission rate for the access channel (column 6, lines 53-63; column 7, lines 37-47);

determining a projected output power required for transmission over all channels (pilot channel and access channel) to be transmitted based upon the relative transmitter output power required for transmission over the access channel at the first data rate (column 8, lines 51-56);

comparing the projected power to a maximum transmitter output power (column 8, lines 51-56); and

selecting a second data transmission rate for the access channel if the projected power exceed the maximum transmitter output power (column 8, lines 56-67; column 9, lines 1-3).

Rong fails to teach measuring transmitter's output power due to transmission by estimating current transmitter out power by averaging output power over a stated time periods.

However, the measuring step is not tied to the rest of the steps in the claim, and determination of patentability cannot depend on this stand-alone single step, therefore, with the newly discovered prior art, the indication of allowability stated in the previous office action is withdrawn.

Kumar teaches measuring transmitting power by absolute power or average power (column 2, lines 14-18), and Ozluturk teaches measuring an average RF power over a selected period of time (column 5, claim 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/938,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 2645

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rong's reference with teachings of Kumar and Ozluturk, so that mobile station 114 would have included a measuring means for measuring the transmitter's average output power over a predetermined time period, because such modification would have provided feedback information to the transmit power control module 208 (figure 2).

- 2.2 Regarding claims 4 and 5, the modified Rong's reference, teaches measuring transmitter out power, and since the measuring means measures the output power of a RF power amplifier (see figure 5 of Ozlukert), the transmission power of a single channel, or a combination of channels is measured.
- 2.3 Regarding claims 8 and 9, Rong teaches determining the power headroom for transmitting a predetermined data rate (column 8, lines 51-60), which inherently including transmission power of all channels.
- 2.4 Regarding claim 10, Rong teaches determining whether transmitting a predetermined data rate is within the maximum transmission output power (column 8, lines 51-60).

Art Unit: 2645

2.5 Regarding claim 11, it is inherent that a user may use mobile station 114 for data transmission at least once per month, therefore, the measuring, determining and comparing steps are repeated monthly.

- 2.6 Regarding claim 12, Rong teaches selecting a lower data rate or a higher data rate based on the power headroom (column 8, lines 56-63; column 9, lines 4-16).
- 2.7 Regarding claims 13 and 19, Rong teaches selecting a lower data rate for the receiver of a base station (column 8, line 64 to column 9, line 3).
- 2.8 Regarding claim 14, it is inherent that the data rate is proposed to the receiver of the base station only once during a setup period.
- 2.9 Regarding claims 15 and 20, Rong teaches using 19.2 kbps as a default rate (column 8, lines 49-56).
- 3. Claims 6, 7 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rong et al. US 6,775,548 in view of Kumar et al. US 6,2212,399 and further in view of Ozluturk et al. US 6,434,135 and further in view of Applicant's disclosure (Background art).

3.1 Regarding claims 6 and 18, the modified Rong's reference, teaches transmitting data at variable data rate based on the available transmission power. Rong further teaches storing relative transmission power at particular data rates for an access channel (column 7, lines 37-47), selecting a data rate for the access channel, and converting the relative transmission power to an absolute transmission power (column 8, lines 51-63).

Rong fails to teach storing relative transmission power for each channel at particular data rates.

However, the Applicant discloses table 1 in the background art, which teaches an IS-98D standard for storing relative transmission power for a plurality of channels at particular data rate (Specification, page 9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rong's reference, which was modified by Kumar and Ozluturk, with the background art, so that relative transmission power for a plurality of channels would have been stored in a memory, because such modification would have complied with IS-98D standard.

3.2 Regarding claim 7, the modified Rong's reference, teaches storing transmission power in absolute value, but fails to teach storing the transmission poser is relative value with respect to one channel.

However, Rong teaches a transmission power level in terms of relative decibel (such as 3 dB or 6 dB) to another transmission power (column 7, lines 37-47).

Art Unit: 2645

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Rong's reference, so that relative transmission power would have been stored as a relative decibel to one channel, because storing a transmission power as an absolute value or as a relative value would have been a design choice.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Simon Sing whose telephone number is 571-272-7545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached at 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 (571-273-8300 after 7/15/2005). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

S. Sing

0/07/2005

FAN/TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600